Source: http://www.cancertutor.com/deathbydoctoring4/#.UsoIfbRvCDg

Consider the following statement from cancer specialist, Professor Charles Mathe, who declared:

If I contracted cancer, I would never go to a standard cancer treatment centre. Cancer victims who live far from such centres have a chance. [5]

Walter Last, writing in The Ecologist, reported recently:

After analysing cancer survival statistics for several decades, Dr Hardin Jones, Professor at the University of California, concluded "...patients are as well, or better off untreated. Jones' disturbing assessment has never been refuted".[6]

Or what about this?

Many medical oncologists recommend chemotherapy for virtually any tumor, with a hopefulness undiscouraged by almost invariable failure.- Albert Braverman MD 1991 Lancet 1991 337 p901 "Medical Oncology in the 90s"

Or this?

Most cancer patients in this country die of chemotherapy. Chemotherapy does not eliminate breast, colon, or lung cancers. This fact has been documented for over a decade, yet doctors still use chemotherapy for these tumors. - Allen Levin, MD UCSF The Healing of Cancer

or even this?

Despite widespread use of chemotherapies, breast cancer mortality has not changed in the last 70 years- **Thomas Dao, MD NEJM Mar 1975 292 p 707**

Chemotherapy is an invasive and toxic treatment able supposedly to eliminate cancer cells. Unfortunately though, its ferocious chemistry is not able to differentiate between the cancerous cell or the healthy cell and surrounding healthy tissue. Put simply, chemotherapy is an intravenously administered poison that kills all living matter. Repeated chemotherapy and repeated radiation treatments kill the whole body by degrees. The immune system is hit particularly hard by chemotherapy and often does not recuperate enough to adequately protect from common illnesses, which can then lead to death. Some 67% of people who die during cancer treatment do so through opportunistic infections arising as a direct result of the immune system failing because of the aggressive and toxic nature of the drugs. [7] What is this if it is not death by doctoring?

Death on Legs

The side effects from both chemotherapy and radiation itself are extensive. They can include dizziness, skin discolouration, sensory loss, audio-visual impairment, nausea, diarrhoea, loss of hair, loss of appetite, leading to malnutrition, loss of sex drive, loss of white blood cells, permanent organ damage, organ failure, internal bleeding, tissue loss, cardio-vascular leakage (artery deterioration) to name but a few. **Vincristin** is a commonly applied chemotherapy agent. It's side-effects include rapid heart-beat, wheezing or difficulty breathing, skin rash or swelling fever or chills, infection unusual bleeding or bruising abdominal or stomach cramps loss of movement or coordination muscle spasms fits, seizures or convulsions. The full list can be viewed at

http://healthanswers.telstra.com/drugdata/appco/00070129.asp Another common drug is **Actinomycin**

− **D**. The side-effects again are horrendous and can be viewed at http://www.tirgan.com/actinomy.htm They include hair-loss, anemia, low white platelet count, nausea, sickness, diarrhea and liver failure.

Two years ago, Hazel was diagnosed with breast cancer. She described her chemotherapy as the worst experience of her life.

his highly toxic fluid was being injected into my veins. The nurse administering it was wearing protective gloves because it would burn her skin if just a tiny drip came into contact with it. I couldn't help asking myself "If such precautions are needed to be taken on the outside, what is it doing to me on the inside?" From 7 pm that evening, I vomited solidly for two and a half days. During my treatment, I lost my hair by the handful, I lost my appetite, my skin colour, my zest for life. I was death on legs.

For a graphic visual account of the dangers posed by chemotherapy when making contact with bare skin, visit <u>chemo spill</u> This page is not for the faint-hearted.

We shall be hearing more from Hazel later, although under very different circumstances! It seems though that with chemotherapy, we have once again been visited by King Charles' ammonia treatment, and again being administered by the highest, most learned physicians in the land. Similarly, on the toxicity of radiation 'therapy', John Diamond noted that it was only when he began his radiation treatment that he began to feel really ill.

Senior cancer physician Dr. Charles Moertal of the Mayo Clinic in the US stated: Our most effective regimens are fraught with risks and side-effects and practical problems; and after this price is paid by all the patients we have treated, only a small fraction are rewarded with a transient period of usually incomplete tumour regressions....[8]

Dr Ralph Moss is the author of 'The Cancer Industry' – a shocking expose of the world of conventional cancer politics and practice. Interviewed live on the Laurie Lee show in 1994, Moss stated:

In the end, there is no proof that chemotherapy actually extends life in the vast majority of cases, and this is the great lie about chemotherapy, that somehow there is a correlation between shrinking a tumour and extending the life of a patient.[9]

Scientists based at McGill Cancer Centre sent a questionnaire to 118 lung cancer doctors to determine what degree of faith these practicing cancer physicians placed in the therapies they administered. They were asked to imagine that they had cancer and were asked which of six current trials they would choose. 79 doctors responded of which 64 (81%) would not consent to be in any trial containing Cisplatin – one of the common chemotherapy drugs they were trialling, (currently achieving worldwide sales of about \$110,000,000 a year) and 58 of the 79 (73%) found that all the trials in question were unacceptable due to the ineffectiveness of chemotherapy and its unacceptably high degree of toxicity. [10]

Repeated chemotherapy and radiation kill the whole body by degrees, because chemo and radiation greatly diminish the immune systems ability to protect from common illnesses, leading to 67% dying during toxic cancer treatment, from opportunistic infections.



Chemotherapy Burn

Did you know that nurses who administer chemo wear protective gloves, because the chemo will burn the skin. So what is it doing to the inside of the body?

Chemotherapy – A Scientific Wasteland

The following extract is taken from Dr Tim O'Shea at The Doctor Within:

A German epidemiologist from the Heidelberg/Mannheim Tumor Clinic, Dr. Ulrich Abel has done a comprehensive review and analysis of every major study and clinical trial of chemotherapy ever done. His conclusions should be read by anyone who is about to embark on the Chemo Express. To make sure he had reviewed everything ever published on chemotherapy, Abel sent letters to over 350 medical centers around the world asking them to send him anything they had published on the subject. Abel researched thousands of articles: it is unlikely that anyone in the world knows more about chemotherapy than he.

The analysis took him several years, but the results are astounding: Abel found that the overall worldwide success rate of chemotherapy was "appalling" because there was simply no scientific evidence available anywhere that chemotherapy can "extend in any appreciable way the lives of patients suffering from the most common organic cancers." Abel emphasizes that chemotherapy rarely can improve the quality of life. He describes chemotherapy as "a scientific wasteland" and states that at least 80 percent of chemotherapy administered throughout the world is worthless, and is akin to the "emperor's new clothes" – neither doctor nor patient is willing to give up on chemotherapy even though there is no scientific evidence that it works! – Lancet 10 Aug 91

No mainstream media even mentioned this comprehensive study: it was totally buried. [10a]

Success of most chemotherapies is appalling...There is no scientific evidence for its ability to extend in any appreciable way the lives of patients suffering from the most common organic cancer... Chemotherapy for malignancies too advanced for surgery, which accounts for 80% of all cancers, is a scientific wasteland **Dr Uhlrich Abel, Stuttgart, 1990**

Chemotherapy Can Cause Cancer

An amazing admission is made on a web page supported by the US National Cancer Institute. Giving the reader information on the treatment of Wilm's Tumour (a children's cancer which affects the kidney) the site goes on to state:

When very high doses of chemotherapy are used to kill cancer cells, these high doses can destroy the blood-forming tissue in the bones (the bone marrow). If very high doses of chemotherapy are needed to treat the cancer, bone marrow may be taken from the bones before therapy and frozen until it is needed. Following chemotherapy, the bone marrow is given back through a needle in a vein. This is called autologous bone marrow re-infusion.

Radiation therapy uses x-rays or other high-energy rays to kill cancer cells and shrink tumors. Radiation for Wilms' tumor usually comes from a machine outside the body (external radiation therapy). Radiation may be used before or after surgery and/or chemotherapy.

After several years, some patients develop another form of cancer as a result of their treatment with chemotherapy and radiation. Clinical trials are ongoing to determine if lower doses of chemotherapy and radiation can be used.